means of collating it with the Ellesmere, Hengwrt, Corpus, Lansdowne, Petworth, and Cambridge MSS. Dr. Morris has availed himself of the first three in his edition of the "Prologue, the Knightes and the Nonnes Tales" (Clarendon Press Series); but though he has consulted the last three also in cases of difficulty, he has found them of little real use.

Chaucer himself seems to have had forebodings of the mutilations which were to befall his works, having already suffered from the negligence of his amanuensis, for in the closing stanzas of his "Troilus and Cressida," he says,

- "Go litel booke, go litel tragedie, And for ther is so grete diversite In Englisch and in writing of our tong. So pray I God that non miswrite thee, Ne thee mismetre for defaut of tong. And rede wherso thou be or eles song Thas thou be anderstond."
And in language more forcible than elegant he imprecates a curse on this unlucky man-
" Adam Scrivener, if evere it thee bifal
Boece or Troilus for to write new,
Under thy long lokkes maist thou have the scall,
But after my making thou write more trew.
So ofte a day I mote thy werke renew,
It to correct and eke to rubbe and scrape,
And al is thorow thy negligence and rape."


## HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE TO THE TIME OF CHAUCER.

The term Anglo-Saxon, which is currently used to designate the language supposed to have been spoken by our forefathers before the Norman Conquest, is an invention of modern times, and has not even the advantage of convenience to recommend it.
It was not until the close of the thirteenth and beginning of the fourteenth century, when the fusion of races was followed by the

- rise of a truly national spirit and an outburst of literary activity, that a national language had any existence. The greater part of the thirteenth century was a period of dearth and degradation, a
dark age to the student and lover of our glorious tongue. What little was written was in Latin or French, English being considered not only by the proud nobles, but unhappily also by a pedantic priesthood, as unworthy of cultivation, and consequently, being relegated to the ignorant peasantry, it suffered the loss of thousands of good old words. Hitherto the clergy had written in the language of the people to whom they belonged, and had produced many works of great literary merit. These, however, may be easily recognized as belonging to two great dialectic divisions-a northeastern and south-western, besides minor subdivisions. The great sundering line may roughly be drawn from Shrewsbury through Northampton and Bedford to Colchester, and represents the original partition of the country between the Angles and the Saxons. On the former fell the full force of the Danish invasions, and as we go further north we find the proportion of Scandinavian words and forms to increase.

In the earliest times these languages were almost as distinct as High German and Low German (Platt Deutsch), and the so-called Anglo-Saxon dictionaries confound and mingle the two without distinction. The infusion of Danish or Norse into the Anglian led naturally to a clipping and paring down of inflections, a feature common to all mixed languages; whereas the speech of Wessex, the kingdom of Alfred, preserved much longer its rich infleetional character. Yet even these south-western people seem to have called themselves English rather than Saxons. At any rate King Alfred tells us that his people called their speech English, and Robert of Gloucester says of English, "The Saxones speche yt was, and thorw hem ycome yt ys.". Bede, an Angle, calls them Saxons, but the word is of rare occurrence before the thirteenth century. Procopius in the sixth century calls them Frisians.

It is, however, from the East Midland chiefly that the new English arose, where the monks of Peterborough compiled the history of England in English, in chronicles which were copied and scattered throughout the land. Their dialect incorporating all that was good from the others laid the foundation of that literary language which, again taking up a large French element, was destined to become the speech of the nation at large.
Early in the fourteenth century Robert of Brunne, called also Robert Manning, living in Rutland, in the same linguistic province as the monks of Peterborough, wrote The Handlyng Synne, which marks an era in the history of our language and literature. In it
may be seen actually or foreshadowed every feature of language, idiom, and grammar which distinguishes the English of to-day from that of King Alfred and from the Teutonic languages of the Continent. His English is no longer inflectional but analytic, the difference being one of kind not of degree merely, as was the case in the Old Anglian when compared with the speech of the West Saxons. Of the language of The Handlyng Synne we may say as Sir Philip Sidney did of the Elizabethan age, "English is void of those cumbersome differences of cases, genders, moods, and tenses, which I think was a piece of the Tower of Babylon's curse, that a man should be put to schoole to learne his mother tongue; but for the uttering sweetly and properly the conceit of the minde, which is the ende of speech, that it hath equally with any other tongue in the world."

Of scarcely less value as marking another feature of our present language is the Ancren Riwle, written about 1220 by a learned prelate, into which French and Latin words are imported wholesale. Chaucer has been accused of corrupting our language; but if we compare his works with the Ancren Riwle, written a century and a half earlier, we shall find that the affectation of French words and idioms by the author of the Riwle, an example which for nearly a hundred years none had dared to follow, puts Chaucer rather in the light of a restorer of our language, and justifies Spenser's description of him as "a well of English undefiled." He did not affect a retrograde course, but endeavoured to develop the new powers which English had acquired from this "happy marriage," the fruit of which has been described by none in more glowing terms than by the profound German scholar Grimm. "None of the modern languages has through the very loss and decay of all phonetic laws, and through the dropping of nearly all inflections, acquired greater force and vigour than the English, and from the fulness of those vague and indefinite sounds which may be learned but can never be taught, it has derived a power of expression such as has never been at the command of any human tongue. Begotten by a surprising union of the two noblest languages of Europe, the one Teutonic, the other Romanic, it received that wonderfully happy temper and thorough breeding, where the Teutonic supplied the material strength, the Romanic the suppleness and freedom of expression. . . . In wealth, in wisdom, and strict economy, none of the living languages can vie with it." Such being the character of the language in which Chaucer wrote, it is not necessary to give in
detail the grammatical forms and inflections of the older English dialects.
It will be sufficient to indicate such as were still in use, but have been subsequently dropped or so worn down as to be no longer easily recognized, and to show at the same time how these are modified by the necessities of metrical composition, so as to be lost to the ear though properly retained in the orthography, in accordance with rules of prosody not unlike those familiar to readers of Latin and French poetry, and which held their ground more or less in English down to the time of Milton.
The use of the final $e$ in the language of the fourteenth ani fifteenth centuries presents the greatest difficulty to all who are unacquainted with the grammatical construction of the early and middle English. It was not, as it now is, a merely conventional sign for marking the long sound of the preceding vowel, as in the modern words băr and bäre, for which purpose it is indifferent whether it is placed at the end of the syllable or immediately before the vowel to be lengthened, as in bāre or beār, sëre or seèr; nor was it, as in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, inserted or omitted at the whim of the writer or convenience of the printer, when we may often see the same word spelled with and without it in the same or consecutive lines; nor was it, as in the artificial would-be antiquated diction of Spenser's Faerie Queene, employed without any certain rule either as "an aping of the ancients," as Ben Jonson called it, or for lengthening out the line to the number of syllables required by the peculiar metre borrowed from the Italian poets, and to which the more rigid English tongue would otherwise have refused to bend; but it was a real grammatical inflection, marking case and number, distinguishing adverbs from the corresponding adjectives, and in certain verbs of the "strong" form representing the -en of the older plural, e.g. he spak, thei spake, for spaken, like the German er sprach, sie sprachen; so that to write, as the modernized texts have it, he spake, would be a blunder as gross as the converse they speaks would be now, and to pronounce they spake as we do is to rob the line of a syllable and the verse of its rhythm and metre, and, if the word be at the end, it may be of its rime, as for instance where the indirect objective cases timé and Romé rime with by me and to me.

The following summary of the peculiar features of Chaucer's grammar is founded on the essay of Prof. Child, and Dr. Morris' Introduction to his Chaucer's Prologue, \&c., mentioned above.

## NOUNS.

Number.-1. The plural is mostly formed by adding -es, pronounced as a distinct syllable.

> "And with his streměs dryeth in the grevés The silver dropěs hongyng on the levěs". Knightes Tale, 11. 637-8.
$-s$, which has now almost entirely replaced the $-\check{s}$, was as a rule used only in words of more than one syllable and in those ending with a liquid, as palmers, pilgrims, naciouns, \&c.
Such forms as bestis, othus, are probably the provincial or dialectical usages of the scribes employed.
2. Some nouns form their plurals in $-e n$ or $-n$ (the -an of O.E.), as asschen, been (bees), eyghen (eyes) [Scot. een], flon (arrows), schoon (shoes), [Scot. shoon], and oxen; fon or foon (foes), and kyn, which remained till the seventeenth century as kine.
3. Brethren, children, with the obsolete doughtren and sistren, are formed by adding $-n$ to an older plural form in O.E. - , A.S. $-u$. The O.E. childre, \&c., persists as childer, \&c., in the provincial dialect of the northern counties.
4. Deer, scheep, swin have never had a plural termination; folk, hors, night, thing, and yeer or yer have acquired such only in recent times, the plural in the earlier ages of our language having had the same form as the singular.
5. Feet, men, geese, teeth are plurals formed by a vowel change only.
CAsk. -1 . The possessive case singular is formed by adding -cs (now mostly -s).

> "Ful worthi was he in his lordes werre." Prol. 1. 47.
2. The possessive plural had the same form, foxěs tales, menněs wittes. But when the nominative ended in en it was sometimes unchanged, as "his eyghen sight."
3. In O.E. fader, brother, doughter were uninflected in the possessive case; thus "my fader soule," Prol. 781; "biother sone," K. T. 2226.
4. Some old feminines of the Saxon 1st declension, which made their possessives in -an, had dropped the termination; thus we find ladyĕ grace, sonné upriste (rising), herté blood, widewé sone, and we still speak of Lady day and Lady bird.
5. The indirect objective (dative) occurs sometimes as a distinct case, and ends in -と̌, as holtě, beddě, \&c.

## ADJECTIVES

Now uninflected had in early English two forms, the definite and indefinite, the former used after demonstrative adjectives, of which the so-called definite article is one, and possessive pronouns (thus differing from the modern German usage), and the indefinite in all other circumstances. In Saxon each was declined, but in Chaucer the only inflection is found in the definite form which ends in $-\check{\text { en }}$, as "the yongĕ sonne," "his halfě cours." This -ě is however generally dropped in words of more than one syllable.

The vocative case of adjectives is distinguished by an ee, as "leevě brother," K. T. 326 , " 0 strongě God," except in words of French origin, and therefore of recent introduction, as "gentil sire."
Degress of Comparison.-The comparative is generally formed as now by adding -er to the positive. The 0.E. termination was - -re, which is retained in derre (dearer), ferre (farther), nerre (nearer), sorre (sorer).
Lenger, strenger, and the extant elder are examples of inflection together with vowel change.
Bet (bettre or better) and too (for moze) are contracted forms.
The superlative is made by adding este or -est to adjectives and -est to adverbs; hext (highest), and next, extant (nighest), are contractions.
The plural is formed by adding -ě, not -es, "smalĕ fowlěs," Prol. 9; but adjectives of more than one syllable, and all when used predicatively, drop the ee. Some French words form the plural in -es, as "places delitables."

## -Demonstratives.

In 0.E. the so-called definite article the was in the plural tho, a form occasionally, though very rarely, used by Chaucer. The neuter singular was that, but except in the phrases "that oon" and "that other," contracted into toon and tother, Chaucer never uses that otherwise than as we do now.
He frequently employs tho for those, as "tho worděs," and "oon of tho that," and he writes the plural of this as thise, thes, or these indiscriminately.
Attte, a word of very frequent occurrence, is a corruption of the Saxon at tham, the old objective, O.E. attan, atta, masc, and neut., atter, fem., "atté beste," "attě Bow."

Thilkĕ $=$ the like (A.S. thyllic, thylc), "thilké text," Prol. 182, $=$ that text. Swich, Prol. 3, and sikĕ, Prol. 245 (A.S. swylk $=$ swa $l y k)=$ so like, our such.

That ilke = the same (A.S. ill). Scotch, "Graham of that ilk," i.e. of that same clan or place [must not be confounded with the Scotch ilka, A.S. celc = each]. Same did not come into use till about the year 1200 .
Som . . . som $=$ one . . . another.
" He moot ben deed, the kyng as schal a page; Som in his bed, som in the deepe see, Som in the large feeld, as men may se."

Knightes Tale, 2172-4.

## PRONOUNS.

Singular.

| $\begin{cases}\text { Nom. I, Ich, Ik, } \\ \text { Poss. min (myn), mi (my), } & \text { me. } \\ \text { Obj. me, }\end{cases}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { we } \\ \text { our, oure. } \\ \text { us. }\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{cases}\text { Nom. } & \text { thou (thow), } \\ \text { Poss. thin (thyn), thi (thy), } \\ \text { Obj. } & \text { the, thee. }\end{cases}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ye. } \\ \text { your, youre } \\ \text { yow, you. }\end{array}\right.$ |


| Masc. Fem. |  |  | Neut. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | All Genders.

Independent or predicative forms are $\min$ (pl. mine) ; oure, oures; thin (pl. thine) ; youre, youres; hire, heres (hers); here, heres (theirs). The forms owres and youres were borrowed from the Northern dialect.
Thou is often joined to its verb, as schaltow, woldestow, Nonne Prestes Tale, 525 ; crydestow, Knightes Tale, 225.
The objective (dative) cases of pronouns are used after impersonal verbs, as " me mette;" "him thoughte;" after some verbs of motion, as "goth him;" "he rydeth him;" and after such words as wel, wo, loth, and leef.

Whos (whose) and whom are the possessive and objective cases of who.

Which is joined with that, thus, "Hem whiche that wepith;" "His love the which that he oweth." Alone it sometimes stands for what or what sort of, as-
"Which a miracle ther befel anoon."
Knightes Tale, 1817.
"And whiche they weren, and of what degre." Prol. 40.
What is used for why like the Lat. quid,
"What schulde he studie and make himselven wood?" Prol. 184.
That is sometimes used with a personal pronoun along with it, thus-
" A knight ther was, and that a worthi man,
That from the tyme that he first began
To ryden out, he lovede chivalrye."
Prol. 43-45.
"Al were they sore hurt, and namely oon,
That with a spere was thirled his brest boon."
Knightes Tale, 1851-2.
In the second instance, that $h i s=$ whose.
Who and who so are used indefinitely in the same way as our "one says," "As who seith," "Who so that can him rede," Prol. 741.

Men and the shortened form me, which must not be confounded with the objective of $I$, were used from a very early period down to the seventeenth century in the sense of "one," like the German "man sagt," \&c., and the French "on dit," \&c. "Me tolth" in the passage quoted from Robert of Gloucester (see page 15) is an instance, and one of the latest is to be found in Lodge's Wits Miserie.
" And stop me (let one stop) his dice, you are a villaine."

## VERBS.

I. The so-called weak verbs, or those which form the past tense by the addition of the suffix -ed, were thus declined:-

Present Tense.
singular.

1. I lově,
2. Thou lov-est,
3. He lov-eth,
4. I lov-ede,
5. Thou lov-edest,
6. He lov-ede,
plural.
We lov-en or lově. Ye lov-en or lově. They lov-en or love.

We lov-eden, lov-ede.
You lov-eden, lov-ede.
They lov-eden, lov-ede

The MSS．of Chaucer＇s poetical works frequently have loved， those of his prose very rarely．
In some，as the Harl．MS．，we find has for hast，dos for dost，an evidence of the influence of the Northumbrian，in which the 2nd pers．sing．ended in ees，and we sometimes meet with the ter－ mination－eth in the 3rd plur．pres．，simulating the singular，owing to the fact of that being the plural inflexion of all three persons in the southern counties $=-a t h$ in A．Sax．
＂And over his heed ther schyneth two figures．＂
Knightes Tale，1185，Harl．MS．
We often find－th for－eth，as spelith for speketh．
Saxon verbs whose roots end in $-d,-t$ ，and rarely in $-s$ ，are con－ tracted in the 3rd sing．pres．，as sit for sitteth，writ for writeth， halt for holdeth，fint for findeth，stont for stondeth（stands），and rist for riseth．
II．Some verbs of the weak conjugation form the past tense by adding－d厄̆ or－t厄̌ instead of－ede，as heren，herdĕ；hiden，hiddĕ； kepen，keptĕ；but if the root end in $d$ or $t$ ，preceded by another con－ sonant，－厄̌ only is added instead of $-d \breve{e}$ and $-t \check{e}$ ，as wenden，wendĕ； sterten，stertě；letten（to hinder），letť̌．
III．In some verbs forming a link between the weak and strong conjugations we have a change of the vowel root together with the addition of the suffix－dĕ or tě，as sellen，solde；tellen，tolde；seche （to seek），soughte；and others in which modern English has aban－ doned the vowel change，as delen，daltě（dealt）；leden，laddĕ（led）： leven，lafte（left）．

## The Strong Verbs

Are those which form the past tense by merely changing the root vowel，as sterven，to die，starf，and the past part．by the addition of －en or ĕ，besides a vowel change which may or may not be the same as in the past tense，as storven or storvĕ（O．E．ystorven）．Cf．Ger． sterben，starb，gestorben．
The 1st and 3rd persons singular of the past tense had no final $e$ ， as printed in some modern editions；the three persons plural ended in－en or $-\breve{\text { en }}$ ，and the 2nd person singular in－ě，frequently dropped，or occasionally in－est．
Some strong verbs had two forms for the past tense，one simple aad the other taking the suffix of weak verbs－

| Present． | Past． |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weep， | wep or weptě． |
| Creep， | crep or crepte． |

A number of the older verbs of tris conjugation，in which the root vowel of the past participle was not the same as that of the past tense，employed it in the plural of the latter thus－


This difference between the numbers was soon lost．

## Subjunctive．

The present singular ends in $-e$ ，the plural in－en；the past singular in－ede，－de，or－te，the plural in－eden，－den，or－ten，in all the persons；except in a few such forms as speke we，go we．

## Imperative．

The only inflections are an eth，or occasionally an－e in the 2nd pers．plural；and in verbs conjugated like tellen and loven，an －e in the singular also．

## The Infinitive．

Originally the infinitive ended in－en（the Saxon $-a n$ ），but the $-n$ was often dropped，leaving an ee only，a change which began in the south．
The so－called gerund，really the objective（dative）case of the infinitive，and known by being preceded by $t$ ，in the sense of＂for the purpose of，＂＂in order to，＂\＆c．，was formed from the former by adding $-e$ ，and must not in its full or contracted forms be con－ founded with the infinitive．
Ex．to doon－e＝to don－ne．In Prol．134，＂no ferthing sene＂$=$ for to senne．In 1． 720 ，＂for to telle＂is the gerund also，but the $-n$ has been discarded．
The present participle usually ends in－yng，or－ynge when the rime demands it．Originally the participle ended in－inde or－ind in the south，－ande or－and（occasionally met with in Chaucer）in the north，both forms being employed in the east midland．
Verbal nouns were formed by the termination－ung or later ing，
and then the participles were assimilated to them by changing -inde and -ind into -ynge, -yng, or -ing, as in our present language.
The infinitive in -an or -en was also under certain circumstances reduced to the same termination -ing, and the several forms coexisting in our language present much difficulty to students.

The past participle of weak verbs ends in ed or $-d$, or occasionally in -et or $-t$; of strong verbs in -en or $-e$, with change of the root vowel in some, and they are all sometimes preceded by the old prefix $y$-, $i$ (A.S. ge-), as $i$-ronne, $i$-falle, $y$-clept.

## Anomalous Verbs.

Those whose inflexions cannot be brought under any rule, some of which are defective, and others, as to go, whose wanting parts are made up by borrowing the corresponding members of others, are the truly irregular verbs. This name has also been most unhappily given by grammarians trained in the schools of Greek and Latin to those of the strong conjugation because they are the most removed from the inflectional systems of those languages; whereas they are the most characteristic of the Teutonic family, and in that sense the more regular. Words taken from the Latin are thus instinctively in every instance referred to the weak conjugation as the less peculiarly Teutonic of the two.

1. Ben, been, to be; 1st sing. pres. ind. am; 2nd, art; 3rd, is; plur. been, aren, are; past, was; wast, was, and were; imp. sing. be, pl. beth; p. part. ben, been.

This, the "verb substantive," is in fact made up of portions of three distinct verbs, which long coexisted in different dialects or even in the same so late as the seventeenth century, as may be seen in the A.V. of the Bible and in Milton, and to this day among the peasantry.
2. Conne, to know or to be able; pres. ind., 1st, can; 2nd, can or canst ; 3rd, can; pl. connen, conne; past, 1st and 3rd, couthe, couthe, cowde; p.p. couth, coud. The $l$ in the modern word has been inserted through a false analogy with would and should.
3. Darren, dare; pres. ind., dar, darst, dar; pl. dar, dorre; past, dorste, durste.
4. May; pres. ind. sing., 1st and 3rd, may, mow; 2nd, mayst or maist; pl. mowen, mowe; pres. subj. mowe; past tense, 1st and 3rd, mighte, moghte.
5. Mot, must, may; ind. pres. sing., 1st and 3rd, mot, moot; 2nd must, moot; pl. mooten, moote; past tense, moste.
6. Oven, to owe (moral obligation); pres. oweth; past, oughte, aughte; pl. oughten, oughte.
7. Schal, shall (compulsion); pres. ind. sing., 1st and 3rd, schal; 2nd, schalt; pl. schullen, schuln, schul; past, schulde, scholde.
8. Thar, need (Ger. dürfen); pres. ind. sing. thar; past, thurte; subj. 3rd, ther.
9. Witen, to know ; pres. ind. sing., 1st and 3rd, wat, wot; 2nd, wost ; pl. witen, wite, woote; past, wiste.
10. Wil, will; pres. ind. sing., 1st, wille, wil, wolle, wol; 2nd, wilt, wolt ; 3rd, wile, wole, wol; pl. woln, willen, wille; past, wolde.
It has the full meaning of the Latin volo, e.g. "Owre swete Lord of heven, that no man wil perische" (i.e. neminem vult perdere), Persones Tale.

Negative Verbs.

| Nam = am not. | Nylle, nyl = will not. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nys = is not. | Nolde = would not. |
| Nas = was not. | Nat, not, noot = knows not. |
| Nere = were not. | Nost = knowest not. |
| Nath = hath not. | Nyste, nysten = knew not. |
| Nadde, nade = had not. |  |

## ADVERBS.

1. Adverbs are formed from adjectives by adding - - to the latter, as brightě, brightly; deepě, deeply; lowě, lowly. This is the explanation of the seeming use of the adjective for the adverb in modern English, and which is called by some grammarians the "flat adverb."
2. Others are formed as now by adding -lyche or -ly, as schortly, rudelyche, pleynly.
3. And a few have $e$ before the -ly, as bolděly, trewěly, softěly.
4. Some end in en or -e, as aboven, aborě; abouten, aboutě; withouten, withoutě; siththen, siththe, since. Many have dropped the $-n$, retaining the $-e$ only, as asondre, behyndě, bynethě, biyondě, bytwenĕ, hennĕ (hence), thennĕ (thence), oftě in Chaucer, though often is the more usual form at present, seldě (seldom), 'sooně.
5. Adverbs in es: needes, needs; ones, once; twies or twie, twice; thries, thrie, thrice; unnethes, scarcely; whiles, bysides, togideres; hennes, hence; thennes, thence; whennes, whence; agaynes, ayens, against; amonges, among, amongst; amyddes, amidst.
6. Of-newer, anew, newly (cf. of yore, of late); as-now, at present;
on slepĕ, asleep (fell on sleep, A.V. Acts xiii. 36) (cf. on honting, a hunting, \&c.).
7. There and then occasionally stand for where and when.
8. As, used before in, to, for, by, = considering, with respect to, so far as concerns.

$$
\text { "As in so litel space." Prol. } 87 .
$$

As is used before the imperative in supplicatory phrases -

> "As keep me fro thi vengeaunce and thin yre." K. T. 1444.
> "As sendé love and pees betwixe hem two." K. T. 1459.
(Cf. use of que in French.)
9. But, only (be-out) takes a negative before it. "I nam but deed." K. T. 416. Cf. again the French, "Je ne suis que . . ."
10. Two or more negatives do not make an affirmative. This is the usage of the A.S., and still holds its ground among "uneducated" persons.
"He nevere yit no vileinye ne sayde
In al his lyf unto no maner wight." Prol. 70, 71.

## PREPOSITIONS.

Occasionally $t i l=$ to (cf. the German $b i s$ ), unto $=$ until, $u p=$ upon, and uppon $=$ on.

CONJUNCTIONS.
Ne . . . ne $=$ neither . . . nor ; other . . . other $=$ either . . . or (cf. Ger. oder); what . . . and = both . . . and.

## THE FINAL E.

The use and meaning of the final $e$ in the several parts of speech may be thus summed up.
In many nouns and adjectives it represents the Anglo-Saxon terminations in $-a,-e$, or $-u$, and is then always sounded: assě and cuppe $=$ A.S. ass $\alpha$ and cuppa; herte and mare $=$ A.S. heorte and mare; halĕ and wod厄̆ = A.S. healu and wudu; derĕ and dryĕ = A.S. deore and dryge.
It is sounded when it stands as the sign of the objective indirect (or dative) case, as rootĕ, breethĕ, hвethĕ (Prol, 2, 5, 6), and in beddĕ and briggě, from bed and brig.

It is sounded when it marks-
(a) The definite form of the adjective, "the yonge sonne." Prol. 7.
(b) The plural of adjectives, "smale fowles." Prol. 9.
(c) The vocative of adjectives, "O strongě god!" K. T. 1515.

In verbs it is sounded when it represents the older termination -en or -an as a sign of-
(a) The infinitive, as to "seekě, telle." Prol. 17, 38.
(b) The "gerund," as "seně." Prol. 134.
(c) The past participle, as " $i$-ronně, $i$-fallĕ." Prol. 8, 25.
(d) And in the past tenses of weak verbs in -de or -te, as wenté, cowdě, woldĕ, feddĕ, weptě.

It is sounded in adverbs where it-
(a) Represents older vowel-endings, as soně, twiě, thrie.
(b) Marks the adverb from the corresponding adjective, as fairĕ, righte $=$ fairly, rightly.
(c) When it stands for the O.E. -en, A.S. an: aboutě, abově, O.E. abouten, aboven, A.S. abutan, abufan.
(d) When followed by $-l y$ in the double adverbial ending -ěly, as hertěly, lustěly, seměly, trewěly.
It is silent in the past tenses of weak verbs in -ede, $=e d$, as lovede. Prol. 97.

It is mostly silent in-
(a) The personal pronouns oure, youre, hire, here.
(b) And in many words of more than two syllables.

The final unaccented $e$ in words of French origin is generally silent, but often sounded as in French verse. The scanning of each particular line must decide.

## VERSIFICATION.

The poetry of the Greeks and Romans was purely metrical. In their languages the distinction between long and short vowels was strongly marked, and the lines were composed of a definite number of feet, the feet consisting of two or more syllables long or short following one another in a regular order. Rimes when they occurred accidentally were looked on as faults.
In the later and debased age of the Latin language, when the prciuunciation became corrupted, the regular metres gave way to verses composed of a fixed number of syllables, guided by accent rather than quantity, and with rimes in regular order.

Byfel | that in | that se | soun on | a day, In South | werk at | the Tab | ard as | I lay.
The verse of eleven syllables in $11.11,12-$
So prik | eth hem | nature | in here | corag | es, Thanne long | en folk | to gon | on pil | grimag | es.

And that of nine in 1. 391-

## In | a gowne | of fal | dyng to | the kne.

The opening couplet, though generally read as decasyllabic, is really composed of eleven, as will be seen by a reference to the grammar of Chaucer-

Whan that | April | lě with | his schow | res swoot | ě, The drought | of Marche | hath per | ced to | the root ; $厄$.

The word nonĕs, our nonce, must be read as a dissyllable in 1.523 , or it would not rime with non is in that following, and in 11. 21, 22, pilgrimagě and coragě are probably to be read as in French poetry, the third syllable lightly sounded. So in the Parson's Prologue, 1. 17, 345, Wright's ed.-

```
" Do you | plesaun | ce le | ful as | I can."
```

Short unemphatic syllables are often slurred over, or two such consecuti e syllables pronounced almost as one. These contractions may be arranged under several distinct heads.

1. That which has entered so largely into our spoken language, by which wandering and wanderer are pronounced wand'ring and wand'rer; camest as cam'st, \&c.
2. The synalœepha of classic prosodists, or elision of a final vowel before another word beginning with a vowel or a silent $h$. This was far more frequent in our early poetry than is generally known, and often practised by Milton in his Paradise Lost.
3. A method of obliterating a short syllable which is of very common occurrence in Chaucer, though, as it seems to me, inadequately explained even by Dr. Morris and other equally eminent commentators. The final consonant of a word ending with a short syllable is in reading to be attached to the initial rowel of the next. It will be observed that in the great majority of contractions the following word begins with a vowel giving a clue to the proper reading.
(59)

Examples of the first are-
"And thinketh | here cometh | my mor | tel en | emy." K. T. 785. "Sche gad | ereth flour | ěs par | ty white | and rede." K. T. 195. "Schuln the | declar | en, or | that thou | go henne." K. T. 1498.

Of the second or synalcepha are-
"And cer | tes lord | to abi| den your presence." K. T. 69. "What schulde | he stud | ie and make | himsel | ven wood." Prol. 184.
Besides countless elisions of the terminal $e$ which wơld have been sounded had the next word begun with a consonant.
Synæresis, or the blending of two vowels in the middle of a word, is seen in-
"Ne stud | ieth nat; | ley hand | to ev ' ery man." Prol. 841.
Where every is also contracted after the first method into two syllables.
It is scarcely possible to scan a dozen lines without meeting an instance of the third mode of contraction, but a few examples may be given here-
"And forth | we ride | $n$ a lit | el more | than pass." Prol. 819.
"And won | derly | delyve | $r$ and gret | of strengthe." Prol. 84.
"As an | y rav | ens fethe | $r$ it schon | for blak." K. T. 1286.
"A man | to light | a cande | $l$ at his | lanterne."
Cant. Tales, 1. 5961, Wright's edition.
"And though | that I | no wepe | $n$ have in | this place." K. T. 733. Thou schul | dest neve | re out of | this grov | ě pace." K. T. 744.

Whether is frequently sounded as a single syllable, and is sometimes written wher.
"I not | whether sche | be wom | man or | godesse." K. T. 243.
"Ne rec | cheth nev | ere wher | I synke | or fleete." K. T. 1539.
Words borrowed from the French ending in te or -re are pronounced as in that language, with the final $e$ mute: table, temple, miracle, noble, propre, chapitre, as tabl', templ', mirackl', nobl', propr', chapitr'; and those of more than one syllable ending in -ance (-aunce), -ence, -oun, -ie (-ige), -er, -ere, -age, -une, -ure, and -lle, are generally accented on the last syllable (not counting the silent $e$ ), as acqueyntaìnce, resoinn, manère, avauntàge, \&c.; but occasionally the scoent is thrown back as in modern English, e.g. báttaille, K. T.

21; mäner, Prol. 71; fortune, each of these words being elsewhere accented on the last syllable. Even some purely English words exhibit the same variety, as hontyng and huntýng. K. T. 821 and 1450.

The -ed of past participles and the eede of past tenses are to be alike pronounced as a distinct syllable, eed; thus percěd, Prol. 1. 2, has two syllables, entunĕd, 1. 123, $y$-pinchĕd, 1. 151, have three, but lovede, 1. 97, and similar forms, are to be sounded lov-ěd, \&c., with two, not three syllables.
The initial $h$ in the several cases of the pronoun $h e$, in the tenses of the verb to have, and in the word hov, is so lightly sounded as to admit of the elision of a final -e before it.
"Wel cowde he dresse his takel yemanly." Prol. 106.
Both e's would otherwise be sounded.
In all other words the initial $l$ is too strongly aspirated to permit of this.
Not only is the negative $n e$ frequently shortened into an initial $n$ before am, is, hadde, [nadde], wot, [not], \&c., but we meet with such contractions as thass for the asse, tabiden for to abiden, \&c. This may be merely due to the scribes. Cf. Prol. 450 , where we have the elision in reading though not in the text.
The metrical analysis of the first eighteen lines of the Prologue, given in p. 37, will be found to illustrate most of the foregoing rules of prosody, and will serve as a guide to the correct scanning of Chaucer's verse, which when read as it should be will be found as smooth and regular in its rhythm as any of the present day.
In order to mark the pronunciation without deviating from the orthography of the best MSS. I have in this passage, as in the text generally, adopted the following simple devices and signs.
The final $e$ when naturally silent, or when, as in the words $h e$, the, \&ce., there can be no doubt as to its pronunciation, is printed in small romans; when, on the other hand, it is to be sounded where it is either silent or omitted in modern English, it is distinguished thus $-\Varangle$; and where an $e$ which would be sounded under other circumstances is elided before a word beginning with a vowel or lightly aspirated $h$, it will be found in italics.
Other vowels likewise when elided, whether by synalcepha or by any of the contractions explained above, are marked by italics.
If at the same time it be borne in mind that the finals ess, -en, and
-ed, being Saxon inflections, are, unless the contrary be indicated as above, to be sounded as distinct syllables, and that the eede of the past tense is to be pronounced eed, and that, with the exception of the few nine-syllabled verses, every line is either a perfect or a catalectic iambic, a little practice will enable the student to scan the poetry of Chaucer with ease.
A very few irregular contractions, either poetic licenses or anticipations of future pronunciations, may be found, as in Prol. 463. where "thries hadde" must be read as our "thrice had."
"And thries | hadde sche | ben at | Jeru | salem."
I will conclude this section with a slightly altered transcription of Dr. Morris' remarks on the pronunciation and scanning of the passage on p .37.

1. The final $e$ in Aprille is sounded; but it is silent in the French words veyne, vertue, and nature, and in Marche, holte, and louthe, because followed here by a vowel or lightly aspirated $h$.
2. The final $e$ in swoote, smale, straunge, ferne, and seeke (in the last line) is sounded, as the sign of the plural
3. The final e in roote, breethe, heethe is sounded, as the sign of the objective (indirect) case.
4. The final $e$ in swete, yonge, halfe is sounded, as the definite form of the adjective.
5. The final $e$ in sonne, ende is sounded, as representing older terminations.
6. The final $e$ in i-ronne is sounded, as representing the old and fuller ending of the past participle -en (y-ronnen).
7. The final $e$ in wende is sounded, as representing the en of the plural.
8. And in seeke (1.17), as the -en of the older infinitive.
$7 a$. The full forms of the plural are found in slepen, maken, longen, and
8a. Of the infinitive in seeken, in all of which it is of course sounded.
9. The final -es in schowres, croppes, fowles, halwes, strondes, londes, is sounded as the inflexion of the plural; and
10. In schires as that of the possessive case.
11. Vertue, licour, nature, and corages are accented on the last syllable of the root, as being French words of comparatively recent introduction into English.

Whan that | April | Ie with | his schow | res swoot | $\theta$ The drought | of Marche | hath per $\mid$ ced to $\mid$ the root $\mid \varnothing$. And bath | ed eve | ry veyne | in swich | licour, .
Of which | vertue | engen | dred is | the flour;
Whan Ze | phirus | eke with | his swe | te breeth |e
Enspir | ed hath | in eve | ry holte | and heeth |ě The ten | dre crop | pes, and | the yong | e sonn | 厄 Hath in | the Ram | his hal | fé cours | i-ron | né, And smal | e e fowl | es mak | en mel | odi-e
10 That sle | pen al | the night | with o | pen eye, So prik | eth hem | nature | in here | corag | es:Thanne long | en folk | to gon | on pil | grimag | es, And palm | ers for | to seek | en straung | ě strond | es To fer | ne hal | wes, kouthe | in son | dry lond | es:
15. And spe | cially, | from eve | ry schi | res end | と̌

Of Eng | elond, i to Caunt | erbury | they wend | $\theta$,
The ho | ly blis | ful mar | tir for | to seek | 8 ,
That hem | hath hol | pen whan | that they | were seek |c̈.

